

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/632,919	0/632,919 08/04/2003		Potapov Sergey	Q75814	4930	
23373	7590	04/21/2006		EXAMINER		
	MION, PLL	KERNS, KEVIN P				
SUITE 800	SILVANIA A	VENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHING?	TON, DC 200	37		1725		
				DATE MAILED: 04/21/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				٠,			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/632,919	SERGEY ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Kevin P. Kerns	1725				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	ne correspondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply to vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAND	TION. De timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Ja	nuary 2006 and 14 March 20	<u>06</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.		•			
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters,	prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-17 and 19-27</u> is/are pending in	the application.					
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) 14-16,19,20,22 and 24 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)🖂	Claim(s) 11-13 is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-4,6-10,17,21,23 and 25-27 is/are re	jected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 August 2003</u> is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ object	ed to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is	s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)				
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Of	fice Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Appli	cation No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been rec	eived in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bureau						
* :	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not rec	eived.				
Attachmei	nt(s)						
1) 🔲 Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum					
3) 🔲 Info	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	-	ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims 14-16, 19, 20, 22, and 24 drawn to a non-elected invention. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144). See MPEP § 821.01.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 6-10, 17, and 25-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Dautartas et al. (US 6,582,548).

Dautartas et al. disclose a compression bonding method using laser assisted heating for bonding an element to a substrate, in which the method includes forming and disposing a continuous layer of aluminum 11 on a surface of a silicon-based substrate 7 at ambient temperature; and bonding the element (an oxide-containing member in the form of round lens 8 made of a silicon-based material such as silicon dioxide, or silica glass) by applying pressure 12 on the element (lens 8) toward the aluminum layer 11 (aluminum inherently contains native oxide films to be at least

partially ruptured during laser irradiation) while irradiating laser light (YAG laser at 1060 nm) on or through the element 8 (see Figures 4-6) to a bonding area between the element 8 and layer 11 for a predetermined period of time (abstract; column 2, lines 15-31 and 61-67; column 3, lines 1-51; column 4, lines 1-11; and Figures 4-6).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 21 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dautartas et al. (US 6.582,548) in view of Ainslie et al. (US 4,534,811).

Application/Control Number: 10/632,919

Art Unit: 1725

Dautartas et al. disclose the features of independent claims 1 and 17 above.

Dautartas et al. do not specifically disclose the use of light in the UV wavelength range.

However, Ainslie et al. disclose a method and apparatus for bonding surfaces, in which the method includes providing a compression means (capillary tip 15) for joining elements (12,13) to form a joint 14 on the surface of an integrated circuit 11, while directing a laser beam from a pulsed or continuous laser source 22 through bore 17 of capillary tip 15 onto the area to be joined, such that the laser selectively can be a CO₂ laser (within the UV range) to apply heat energy necessary to bond the elements (12,13), depending on dimensions and geometries of the elements (abstract; column 1, lines 37-57 and 65-68; column 2, lines 1-48; and Figure).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the applicants' invention was made to modify the compression bonding method using laser assisted heating, as disclosed by Dautartas et al., by using the laser with UV light taught by Ainslie et al., in order to apply heat energy necessary to bond elements of differing dimensions and geometries (Ainslie et al.; column 2, lines 1-11).

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 11-13 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

8. The examiner acknowledges the applicants' amendment received by the USPTO on January 24, 2006 and March 14, 2006. Prior 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph rejections

have been overcome by the amendments. Previously allowable claim 11 has been written in independent form, thus making claims 11-13 in condition for allowance. The applicants have cancelled claims 5 and 18. The applicants are referred to above section 1 regarding the requirement to cancel the non-elected claims. Claims 1-4, 6-13, 17, 21, 23, and 25-27 are currently under consideration in the application.

9. Applicants' arguments filed January 24, 2006 (with regard to rejected claims 1-4,6-10, 17, 21, 23, and 25-27) have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With regard to the applicants' remarks/arguments on pages 7-9 of the amendment dated January 24, 2006, the examiner respectfully disagrees with the three major arguments ("room temperature", "predetermined time", and "UV wavelength") set forth by the applicants. First, in the last paragraph on the bottom of page 7 of the remarks, the applicants mention a bonding temperature at tip 16 of 1250 C (column 2, lines 39-40 of Dautartas et al.). However, this statement is absent from the reference, and no such "tip 16" and "1250 C temperature" exists in the disclosure of Dautartas et al. Furthermore, heating is only disclosed as optional ("If desired..." on column 3, lines 43-46), such that room temperature would be the conditions under which bonding would occur if not desired. Also, only a small localized area on the elements to be bonded would be heated during the process, and the distant areas of these elements would be "approximately room temperature". Second, in reference to page 8 of the remarks, the limitation "predetermined time" would be a recurring condition under which repeated applications of the compression bonding process would determine an appropriate length

of time to obtain sufficient bonding, and this time value would be a constant, inherent feature within the bonding process, while having all other parameters (e.g. temperature) constant. Third, in reference to pages 8 and 9 of the remarks, a CO₂ laser (in addition to various lasers listed in column 2, lines 1-11 of Ainslie et al.) is operable to provide light "substantially in the UV wavelength range". Since laser power required for tip heating (1-10 watts – see column 2, lines 5-6 of Ainslie et al.), it is not particularly critical to use a particular type of laser and/or wavelength, and this is implied by the listing of many types of lasers. Furthermore, lasers are frequently operated with second, third, or fourth harmonic generators that correspondingly alter the operating frequency of the laser (e.g. Nd:YAG laser at 1064 nm, 532 nm, 355nm etc.). The primary reference (Dautartas et al.) discloses Nd:YAG at 1060 nm (actually 1064 nm), but a third harmonic generator would bring it to 355 nm, in the UV wavelength range. Many of the other lasers listed by Ainslie et al. would be operable in the UV wavelength range as well.

Conclusion

10. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 10/632,919

Art Unit: 1725

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 11.

examiner should be directed to Dr. Kevin P. Kerns whose telephone number is (571)

272-1178. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00am-

5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on (571) 272-1292. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kerin Keme 4/6/06 Kevin P. Kerns **Primary Examiner**

Page 7

Art Unit 1725

KPK

kpk

April 6, 2006